

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.              | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/618,240                   | 07/10/2003                         | Jin Soo Kim          | DE-1494                 | 4640             |  |
| 1109                         | 7590 12/28/2005                    |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |
| ANDERSON, KILL & OLICK, P.C. |                                    |                      | HEITBRINK, JILL LYNNE   |                  |  |
|                              | E OF THE AMERICAS<br>NY 10020-1182 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| <i>"</i>                     |                                    |                      | 1732                    |                  |  |
|                              |                                    |                      | DATE MAILED. 12/20/2005 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | <b>_</b>   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|   | 10/618,240   | KIM, JIN SOO   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Jill L. Heitbrink  | 1732   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE  | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 S</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This   | eptember 2005.<br>s action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) acc   | epted or b) objected to by the I   | Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | ts have been received.  Is have been received in Application of the second of the seco | on No<br>ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | _  | ·  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 4)  Interview Summary<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Da   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/29/05</u>.</li> </ul>   |  | ratent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/618,240 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 3-6 recites the limitation "The refrigerator" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guggenbichler et al. Pub. No. 2003/0049295 taken together with Gurin Pub. No. 2003/0151030.
- 6. Guggenbichler et al. discloses the process of injection molding a material with an antibacterial function including coating colloidal silver onto the surface of the plastic material prior to the injection molding [0015]. Guggenbichler discloses additive mixed with the colloidal metal [0010]. Gurin teaches nanocomposite including the coating of

Art Unit: 1732

pigments, see abstract and [0100]. The product in Gurin is taught to be a refrigerator [0003]. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use nanosized silver and pigment in Guggenbichler since colloidal nanosilver and pigments would provide the desired antibacterial function on the coated particles. Additionally, the use of a pigment would have been advantages when molding a refrigerator rather than a catheter.

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed September 26, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. Applicant argues that Guggenbichler fails to coat with nanosilver particles.

  Guggenbichler discloses additive mixed with the colloidal metal [0010]. Gurin teaches nanocomposite which improve the colloidal dispersion [0047].
- 9. In response to applicant's argument that method is capable of preventing discoloration and prevents a decrease in strength, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.
- 10. Applicant argues that Guggenbichler fails to disclose the prevention of discoloration and/or decrease in strength. Many steps in a process can affect color and strength such as mold and injection material pressure and temperature. Clearly, the appearance and strength in Guggenbichler's product would meet the design limitations of the desired product.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,240 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

11. Applicant argues that Guggenbichler requires a preliminary molding step of molding a precursor with a metal colloid. The examiner believes applicant is referring to the first sentence in paragraph [0015]. However, this molding is not preliminary molding but is the molding step. See claim 1 of Guggenbichler.

- 12. Applicant argues that Gurin does not teach antibacterial function. However, Gurin uses silver with would inherently have the antibacterial function.
- 13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill L. Heitbrink whose telephone number is (571) 272-1199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 am -2 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1732

jlh